wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Mercedes Medina-Rojas	Case Number: <u>09-6167M</u>
and was re		42(f), a detention hearing was held on May 14, 2009. Defendant was presen nderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
I find by a r	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
Tilliu by a p	•	nited States or lowfully admitted for permanent residence
		nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence. ged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant fa	aces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
	The defendant has no significant cont	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
	The defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	ant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to app	pear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law	v enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum o	f years imprisonment.
The at the time	of the hearing in this matter, except as note	ial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour ed in the record. DNCLUSIONS OF LAW
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of conditi DIRECTIO	ant will flee. ons will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. NS REGARDING DETENTION
a correction appeal. The of the United	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, be defendant shall be afforded a reasonable ed States or on request of an attorney for the to the United States Marshal for the purpos	he Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the e of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.  AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT deliver a co	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT I Services si	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ufficiently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretriathe District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DA	ATED this 14 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2009.	
		<b>S</b>
	•	Sun -
	Uni	David K. Duncan ited States Magistrate Judge